





**European Union** 

EUSDR Priority area 1b: To improve mobility and multimodality: rail, road and air transport

# Transport study for the Danube Region -Study of intermodal transport users' needs in the Danube Region

University of Belgrade Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering Logistics Department



# Purpose of the study

Defining recommendations and guidelines based on user needs in order to establish adequate decision making system concerning intermodal transport development in Danube Region.

In order to analyze the current situation, the study includes a questionnaire for users and service providers in intermodal transport chains. Analysis of the responses shall define their needs, conditions of everyday business realization, problems and desired state of the system functioning as well as existing quality of intermodal transport services.





# **Planned activities**

Forming a team and contacting experts from the Danube Region.

Defining the methodology, methods of communication and reporting.

Collection and analysis of existing documentation and facts.

Defining the questionnaires and selecting survey samples.

Survey of users and service providers (filling in the questionnaires)

Statistical analysis of questionnaires.

Expert assessment of problems and needs at the national level.

Expert opinion with recommendations and guidelines for development of IT.

Preparation of final reports and flyers.



To improve mobility and multimodality: rail, road and air transport

# Analysis of existing documentation and facts

- Basic characteristics of the countries:
  - area, population, gross domestic product GDP.
- Intensity of intermodal transport:
  - regression-correlation analysis.
- Terminals of intermodal transport:
  - network density and terminal status.
- Intermodal transport in strategic documents:
  - level of importance, coverage of intermodal transport subsystems, objectives and measures defined.
- Analysis of Logistic Performance Index.
- Basic groups of intermodal transport problems.



# **Result: Identification of the Danube micro regions**

Danube micro region 1 (DMR-1):

Germany Austria Slovenia Danube micro region 2 (DMR-2):

Czech Republic Slovakia Hungary Romania Ukraine Moldova Danube micro region 3 (DMR-3):

Croatia B&H Serbia Montenegro Bulgaria



# Defining the questionnaires and survey samples

- Two questionnaires for each country are defined:
  - Questionnaire for intermodal transport users
  - Questionnaire for intermodal transport service providers.
- Sample survey:
  - For each country 10 to 40 major users (senders and recipients of goods - trading, manufacturing, etc.) and 10 to 40 important service providers (terminals, intermodal operators, logistic providers, railway operators, maritime agents, etc.).
- In the definition of the sample, private companies had priority.





# Questionnaire for intermodal transport users

To improve mobility and multimodality: rail, road and air transport	<ol> <li>Rate the quality of intermodal transport services on corridors to: High Medium Low Not in use Nonexistent</li> </ol>	12. What are the main obstacles of intermodal transport development and realization in country?
oject: Transport study for the Danube Region (Study of intermodal transport users' needs in the Danube Region)	Corridor 1	Terminals (lack of terminals and poor connection between them, low capacity, old technology, etc.)
ESTIONNAIRE - INTERMODAL TRANSPORT USERS	Corridor 1	Infrastructure (incomplete and types of transport are poorly connected) Intermodal transport units (insufficient number of containers/swap bodies/semi-trailer)
npany name	Corridor 1	Longer lead time
eet Address, City, State		Organization (lack of intermodal transport lines)
	9. Rate the lead time (transport and delivery time) in intermodal transport on	Information (users are informed poorly, it is impossible to track the shipment)
Rate the level of quality of intermodal transport services in country	corridors to: Very short Short Medium, Long Very long Not in use Nonexistent	Regulations (lack of regulations, extensive amount of paperwork, procedures)
	Corridor 1	Intermodal operators (lack of operators, inadequate offer of services)
Pate the offer of intermodel transport services in country (how well it	Corridor 1	Investments (insufficient financial investments in intermodal transport)
satisfies your demand)	Corridor 1	
Very good Adequate Poor	Corridor 1	
	Corridor 1	13. What are the main benefits from using intermodal transport services?
How do you rate the lead time (transport and delivery time) in intermodal transport chains?		Inclusion in international goods flows and markets
Very short Short Medium Long Very long	10. Name one or two missing links of intermodal transport with economic centers in the Danube Region	Lower transport and manipulation costs
		Shorter lead time duration
Compared to other means, how would you rate the prices of intermodal		Full and high level service quality
High Medium Low		Reliability of service
	11. Specify the place for loading/unloading of intermodal transport units	Transport safety
How well the large economic centers of the Danube Region are connected	(containers, swap bodies, etc.)	Higher level of goods protection
with intermodal transport chains?	At company's premises mainly	Lower environment pollution level
very good Abequate Poor Very poor	It depends: at company's premises or at nearby terminal	
Pain the local of development of internetial between a set and market	At nearby terminal	
Rate the level of development of intermodal transport network and market coverage in country		14. Please add any further comments or suggestions about the problems or development of intermodal transport in the country and/or the Danube
Very high High Medium Low Very low		Region
		······································
Rate the availability of intermodal transport services in country		
		These on factables the time to conclude all continues . Very last the location to
		us and we value your comments and contribution.
		Please, click on the button "Submit" to venify and send Your answers.
The project is co-manced by the European Union (ERUP and IPA-11 rands)	The project is co-tananced by the European Union (ERUP and IPA-II Tanan)	The project is co-financed by the European Union (ERDF and IPA-II funds)
	7 📃 T 🛛 T	14 A
and the second states in the s		



# **Questionnaire for service providers**

Danube Transnational Programme EUSDR Priority area 1b:	Anobe Transational Programme      A
To improve mobility and multimodality: rail, road and air transport Project: Transport study for the Danube Region (Study of intermodal transport users' meeds in the Danube Region) QUESTIONNAIRE - INTERMODAL TRANSPORT PROVIDERS Company name Street Address, City, State	High     Medium     Low     Nati use     Nonexistent       Corridor 1
Rate the potential of intermodal transport market in the Danube Region     Very high High Medium Low Very low     Development of intermodal transport system in country     Very high High Medium Low Very low     Development of intermodal transport system in country     Nery low Construction of intermodal transport system in country     Very good Adaqueta Poor Very poor	corridors to:       Very short. Short. Medium: Long Very long Not in use Nonesistent         Corridor 1
A Rate the level of development of network of intermodal terminals and market coveringe in country.     Very high High Medium Low Very low     S. How well the large economic centers of the Danube Region are connected with intermodal transport chains?     Very good Adsquate Poor Very poor	centers in the Danube Region  I1. Specify the place for loading/unloading of intermodal transport units (containers, swap bodies)  At company's premises mainy  I. depends: at company's premises or at nearby terminal  at company's premises or at nearby terminal
6. Rate the competition presence in intermodal transport in country Storeg Average Verk  7. Rate the quality of intermodal transport system in: Very high Medium Law Very low Danube region Country	
The project is co-financed by the European Union (IERDF and IPA-II fixeds)	The project is co-financed by the European Unice (ERDF and IPA-II funds)
Faculty of Tra	ansport and Traffic Engineering, ogistics Department

8



# **Questionnaire for service providers**

L	Canue Transational Programme     Engenision     Sample of good intermodal transport chain practice (write     key points loace of loading, terminal of origin, transit terminal, terminal of     destination, place of unloading)	17. Please specify top five priorities needed for intermodal transport development in country  1.  2.	
	14. As an example of good practice, especially stands out         Lead time       Technology         Relability       Costs         Costs       Services offered within chain         Organization, connection	34 45 55 18. Please add any further comments or suggestions about the problems or development of intermodal transport in the country and/or the Danube Region	
	15. What are the main obstacles of intermodal transport development and realization in country?     Terminals (lack of terminals and poor connection between them; low sagesity; etc.)		
	Infrastructure (incomplete and types of transport are poonly connected) Intermodal transport units (insufficient number of containers/svap bodies/semi-brailer) Rate of use of technologies (Pigg/sack/hockepack, Ro-Ro, etc.) Transport means (lask of finight vagora and vessels for container conveyance) Organization (lack of intermodal transport lines)	rians you of okang the time to complete on questionname. Four mports important to us and we value your comments and contribution.	
	Regulations (lack of regulationy documents on both national and international level, extensive amount of papenvork)     Simulating measures (lack of measures stimulating intermodal transport development)     Investments (insufficient financial investments in intermodal transport)		
	16. What are the main benefits from using intermodal transport services?  Inclusion in Intermational goods flows and markets Lower transport and manipulation costs		
	Shorter lead bime Util and high level service quality Reliability of service Transport safety		1000 Store
	Higher le vel of goods protection     Lower environment pollution le vel		
4	The project is co-financed by the European Union (EEDF and IPA-II funds)	The project is co-financed by the European Union (ERDF and IPA-II funds)	
1	ET TO		
	ST DAMAGE T		



# Surveying users and service providers

- Questionnaires are sent to over 800 e-mail addresses.
- Poor response to the poll:
  - A request for completing the questionnaire was sent several times - the result was not satisfactory.
  - The presence of members of the project team at several professional, business conferences, and with the aim of animating companies, had a limited result (about 15 completed questionnaires).
  - Most of the completed questionnaires are the result of personal contacts (more than half of the questionnaire).
  - Limited support from the members of SG PAb1) Ukraine and Moldova.





# **Result: 147 completed questionnaires**

- Postponing the deadline for the completion of the study.
- The interview ended on April 20, 2018:
  - 71 by the user,
  - 76 by the service provider.
- The number of completed questionnaires varies by country, mostly due to personal contacts of project team members.







COUNTRY	USERS	PROVIDERS	SUM		
Austria	4	4	8		
Bosnia & Herzegovina	10	6	16		
Bulgaria	4	5	9		
Croatia	5	8	13		
Czech Republic	4	5	9		
Germany	4	5	9		
Hungary	4	5	9		
Moldova	2	2	4		
Montenegro	6	6	12		
Romania	5	5	10		
Serbia	13	11	24		
Slovakia	4	6	10		
Slovenia	5	7	12		
Ukraine	1	1	2		
Total	71	76	147		
	Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering, Logistics Department				



# Statistical analysis of questionnaires

- Statistical analysis of responses:
  - national level
  - level of the micro region
  - level of the Danube region
- Comparative analysis and overall assessment





To improve mobility and multimodality: rail, road and air transport

# **Result: Level of micro region**

#### Assessment of intermodal transport quality - service users





# **Result: Level of Danube region**

#### Assessment of intermodal transport quality - service users





To improve mobility and multimodality: rail, road and air transport

# **Result: Level of micro region**

#### Assessment of intermodal transport quality - service providers





# **Result: Level of Danube region**

#### Assessment of intermodal transport quality - service providers





### **Result: Comparative analysis - level of Danube region**

#### Assessment of intermodal transport quality – users and service providers



Users Providers



# **Activities in preparation**

Expert assessment of problems and needs at the national level.

Expert opinion with recommendations and guidelines for development of IT.

Preparation of final reports and flyers.



# Thank you for your attention!!!

Team leader: Snežana Tadić, <u>s.tadic@sf.bg.ac.rs</u> University of Belgrade Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering Logistics Department Consultant, Technical Assistance to Priority Area 1B of the EUSDR: Željko Janjoš, zeljko.janjos@mgsi.gov.rs Ministry of Construction, Transport and Infrastructure, Republic of Serbia



